

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
SACRAMENTO

In the Matter of the Licenses)	
and Licensing Rights of)	ACCUSATION
)	
ROBINSON RIN YANG)	File No. LBB 0316-AP (AR)
)	
<u>Respondent</u>)	

The Insurance Commissioner of the State of California
in his official capacity alleges that:

I

Respondent, ROBINSON RIN YANG, now is and since November 3, 2001, has been licensed by the Insurance Commissioner to act as a fire and casualty broker-agent; and was, from July 29, 1991 through November 30, 1996, and again from December 5, 1997 through November 30, 2000, licensed to act as a fire and casualty broker-agent.

II

On August 23, 2000, in Case No. OAL00384, in the Municipal Court of Los Angeles County, Alhambra Judicial District, State of California, Respondent was convicted upon a plea of guilty, of battery, a violation of Section 242 of the California Penal Code, a misdemeanor.

III

On December 12, 2000, Respondent filed an application to renew the fire and casualty broker-agent license referred to above in Paragraph I. Question No. 13 on Respondent's application reads:

"Have you, since your last previous application to the California Department of Insurance, been convicted of a crime? 'Crime' includes a felony, a misdemeanor or military offenses. 'Convicted' includes, but is not limited to, having been found guilty by verdict of a judge or jury, having entered a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, having had any charge expunged, dismissed or plea withdrawn pursuant to Penal Code Section 1203.4, or having been given probation, a suspended sentence or a fine. You may exclude traffic citations and juvenile offenses tried in juvenile court."

Respondent answered "No" to said question. Such answer was false and known to Respondent to be false in that Respondent had been convicted of the offense alleged above in Paragraph II.

IV

The facts alleged above in Paragraphs II and III show that it would be against the public interest to permit Respondent to continue transacting insurance in the State of California and constitute grounds for said Insurance Commissioner to suspend or revoke the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1668(b) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code.

V

The facts alleged above in Paragraphs II and III show that Respondent is lacking in integrity and constitute grounds for said Insurance Commissioner to suspend or revoke the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1668(e) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code.

VI

The facts alleged above in Paragraphs II and III show that Respondent has knowingly or willfully made a misstatement in an application to the Insurance Commissioner. These facts constitute grounds for the Insurance Commissioner to suspend or revoke Respondent's licenses and licensing rights pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1668(h) and 1738 of the California Insurance Code.

DATED:

HARRY W. LOW
Insurance Commissioner

By:

SHANNON CARRION
Investigative Analyst
(916) 492-3560